

Fig. 2. Projection of the structure, parallel to the crystallographic b axis.

3.41 (2) Å with its corresponding centrosymmetrically related molecule, in position (-x-1,-y,-z), with an overlap estimated as 33%, while phen(2), in position (x,y,z), is at a distance of 3.43 (1) Å from its centrosymmetrically related molecule, in position (-x,-y,-z+1), with an overlap estimated as 47%. The nitrate anion and water molecule are out of the coordination sphere of the metal ion. The water molecule, however, is strongly associated to the complex cation through the coordinated Cl<sup>-</sup> ion with a short contact Cl···H(2)-O(1) = 3.209 (4), Cl···H(2) =  $2 \cdot 286$  (1) Å and Cl-H(2)-O(1) =  $166 \cdot 7$  (3)°. It also exhibits a short contact with the nitrate ion with O(12)...H(1)-O(1) =  $2 \cdot 909$  (6), O(12)...H(1) =  $2 \cdot 198$  (6) Å and O(12)-H(1)-O(1) =  $153 \cdot 5$  (3)°.

The author is grateful to Dr S. Martínez-Carrera from the Instituto de Físico-Química 'Rocasolano', Madrid, for providing facilities for the use of the Philips PW 1100 diffractometer. This work was partially supported by the Programa Regional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico, OEA, and by grant No. E2282 from DIB, U. de Chile.

## References

- BOYS, D., ESCOBAR, C. & MARTÍNEZ-CARRERA, S. (1981). Acta Cryst. B37, 351-355.
- CROMER, D. T. & MANN, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.
- HARRISON, W. D., KENNEDY, D. M., POWER, M., SHEAHAN, R. & HATHAWAY, B. J. (1981). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1556-1564.
- HUQ, F. & SKAPSKI, A. C. (1971). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 1927-1931.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- MAIN, P., FISKE, S. J., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1980). MULTAN80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- STEWART, J. M., MACHIN, P. A., DICKINSON, C. W., AMMON, H. L., HECK, H. & FLACK, H. (1976). The XRAY76 system. Tech. Rep. TR-446. Computer Science Center, Univ. of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175-3187.

Acta Cryst. (1988). C44, 1541–1543

## Structure of Triphenyltin(IV) Methoxyethylxanthate

BY ALISON J. EDWARDS, BERNARD F. HOSKINS AND GEORGE WINTER

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia

(Received 22 February 1988; accepted 4 May 1988)

Abstract. (O-Methoxyethyldithiocarbonato)triphenyl- $M_r =$  $[Sn(S,COCH,CH,OCH_3)(C_6H_5)_3],$ tin(IV), 501.24, triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ , a = 9.400 (1), b = 14.277 (3), c = 18.506(5) Å,  $\alpha = 68.14(2)$ ,  $\beta = 83.05(1)$ ,  $\gamma = 18.506(5)$  Å,  $\alpha = 68.14(2)$ ,  $\beta = 83.05(1)$ ,  $\gamma = 10.000$ 75.43 (1)°, U = 2229.8 (9) Å<sup>3</sup>, Mo Ka radiation,  $\lambda$ = 0.71069 Å, T = 295 (2) K,  $D_r = 1.493$  (1),  $D_m =$ Z = 4,1.47 (2) g cm<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 1008 $\mu =$  $12.32 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , R = 0.044 for 3435 unique reflections  $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$ . There are two independent molecules per asymmetric unit which differ significantly only in their intermolecular contacts. The xanthate  $(ROCS_{-})$  moiety coordinates the tin atom via one S atom [Sn-S = 2.456 (3), 2.457 (3) Å] together with a long interaction from the O atom [Sn-O = 3.107 (8), 3.094 (8) Å]. A short intermolecular contact between a methylene H and an ether O  $[H \cdots O 2.47 (2) Å]$  is observed.

**Introduction.** The very rare S- and O-xanthate coordination mode has been observed for triphenyltin isopropylxanthate [ $Ph_3Sn(iprxa)$ ] (Tiekink & Winter, 1986). It was therefore of interest to examine whether

0108-2701/88/091541-03\$03.00

© 1988 International Union of Crystallography

for triphenyltin methoxyethylxanthate

 $B_{\rm eq} = 8\pi^2 (U_{11} + U_{22} + U_{33})/3.$ 

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and  $B_{ea}$  values Table 2. Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for triphenyltin methoxyethylxanthate (excluding phenyl rings)

			_	D (12)
	x	У	Z	$\boldsymbol{B}_{eq}(\mathbf{A}^{-})$
Molecule		a	0.00016 (4)	
Sn(1)	0.29720 (8)	0.50208 (5)	0.29915(4) 0.2726(2)	3-27
S(11)	0-4038 (4)	0.3404(2) 0.1207(2)	0.3720(2)	5.07
S(12)	0.5512(4) 0.450(1)	0.1297(2) 0.2501(8)	0.3345 (6)	3.63
	0.430(1)	0.201(6)	0.2712 (4)	4.55
C(1X1)	0.358(1)	0.230(1)	0.2287 (8)	5.95
C(1X2)	0.288(1)	0.299(1)	0.1532(7)	5.87
O(1X2)	0.140(1)	0.3395 (7)	0-1659 (4)	6.13
C(1X4)	0.063(2)	0.409(1)	0.0968 (8)	8-69
c(II)	0.075 (1)	0.4927 (8)	0.3317 (6)	3.63
C(12)	0.002(1)	0.4394 (9)	0.3065 (6)	4.34
C(13)	-0.145 (2)	0.444 (1)	0.3240 (8)	5.79
C(14)	-0·219 (1)	0-499 (1)	0-3679 (7)	5-18
C(15)	<b>−0</b> •152 (1)	0.5526 (9)	0-3951 (7)	4.76
C(16)	-0.002 (1)	0.5480 (8)	0-3778 (6)	4.29
C(17)	0-345 (1)	0.5508 (8)	0.1782 (6)	3.50
C(18)	0.235 (1)	0.6116 (9)	0.1265 (6)	4.29
C(19)	0.271(2)	0-652(1)	0.0464 (7)	5.00
C(110)	0.409 (2)	0.629(1)	0.0203(8)	5.63
C(11)	0.520(1)	0.509(1)	0.0710(8) 0.1503(6)	4.11
C(112)	0.490(1) 0.362(1)	0.6015 (8)	0.3443 (6)	3.40
C(113)	0.302(1)	0.6821 (8)	0.2037 (6)	4.63
C(114)	0.427(1)	0.7442 (0)	0.3222 (8)	5,50
C(115)	0.466(1)	0.726(1)	0.4008 (8)	6.61
C(117)	0.403 (1)	0.6477(9)	0.4496 (6)	6.18
C(118)	0.354(1)	0.5848(8)	0.4224 (6)	4.58
0(110)				
Molecule	2			
Sn(2)	-0.04339 (8)	-0.07288 (5)	0.20673 (4)	3.36
S(21)	-0.2096 (4)	0.0969 (2)	0.1482 (2)	4.63
S(22)	-0.2658 (4)	0.2905 (3)	0.1/90(2)	0.03
C(2X1)	-0.183(1)	0.1689 (8)	0.2013(6)	3.95
O(2X1)		0.1144(5)	0.2104 (7)	4.40
C(2X2)	-0.070(1)	0.1040(9)	0.38124(7)	5.66
O(2X3)	0.008(2)	0.0530(7)	0.3576 (5)	5.74
C(2X2)	0.243(2)	_0.024 (1)	0.4197 (8)	6.61
C(21)	0.176(1)	-0.0609 (8)	0.1684(5)	3.40
C(22)	0.249 (1)	-0.0041 (9)	0.1929 (7)	5.00
C(23)	0.395 (2)	0.000(1)	0.1652 (7)	5.42
C(24)	0.461 (2)	-0·049 (1)	0.1151 (8)	5.71
C(25)	0.387 (2)	-0.102 (1)	0.0901 (7)	5.87
C(26)	0-245 (1)	-0·1097 (9)	0.1181 (6)	4.19
C(27)	-0.087 (1)	-0.1325 (8)	0.3295 (6)	3.42
C(28)	0.024 (1)	-0.1971 (8)	0.3788 (6)	3.84
C(29)	-0.005 (2)	-0.2359 (9)	0.4581 (6)	5-18
C(210)	-0.144 (2)	-0.213(1)	0.4881 (7)	5-11
C(211)	-0.254 (2)	-0.14/(1)	0.4393 (/)	3.34
C(212)	-0.220(1)	-0.1084 (9)	0.1405 (6)	4.51
C(213)	-0.168(1)	-0.1301(8) -0.2441(9)	0.1495 (0)	4.32
C(214)	-0.217(2)	-0.2985 (9)	0.1537 (8)	5.63
C(213)	-0.215 (2)	-0.263 (1)	0.0745 (9)	5.58
C(217)	-0.164(2)	-0.176(1)	0.0299 (7)	6.24
C(218)	-0.114 (1)	-0.1228 (9)	0.0677 (6)	4.87

this mode of coordination is retained when isopropyl is replaced by another substituent. The methoxyethyl moiety was chosen because it has been shown to yield structural types different from those already observed for xanthate complexes of a particular element (Abrahams, Hoskins, Tiekink & Winter, 1988).

Experimental. The compound was prepared by mixing equimolar amounts of triphenyltin chloride and potassium methoxyethylxanthate in dichloromethane. The suspension was stirred and warmed for 1 h. The filtrate was then evaporated to dryness and recrystallized from hot petroleum ether (40-60° fraction). A block-shaped crystal bounded by  $\pm(111)$ ,  $\pm(001)$ ,  $\pm(011)$  separated

	n = 1	<i>n</i> = 2
Sn(n) - S(n1)	2-456 (3)	2.457 (3)
Sn(n) - C(n1)	2.13(1)	2-126 (9)
Sn(n) - C(n7)	2.11(1)	2.14(1)
Sn(n) - C(n13)	2.13(1)	2-13(1)
Sn(n) - O(nX1)	3-107 (8)	3-094 (8)
S(n1)-C(nX1)	1.73 (1)	1.74 (1)
S(n2)-C(nX1)	1.61(1)	1.63 (1)
C(nX1) - O(nX1)	1.34 (1)	1-34 (1)
O(nX1)-C(nX2)	1.43 (2)	1.45 (2)
C(nX2)-C(nX3)	1.49 (2)	1.48 (2)
C(nX3) - O(nX2)	1-39 (1)	1-41 (2)
O(nX2)-C(nX4)	1.44 (2)	1.43 (2)
S(n1) - Sn(n) - C(n1)	110.6 (4)	108-9 (3)
S(n1)-Sn(n)-C(n7)	112.7 (4)	109-8 (4)
S(n1)-Sn(n)-C(n13)	97.7 (4)	98.7 (4)
C(n1)-Sn(n)-C(n7)	115.0 (5)	117-4 (5)
C(n1)-Sn $(n)$ -C $(n13)$	110-9 (5)	109-1 (5)
C(n7)-Sn(n)-C(n13)	108-6 (5)	111-2 (5)
Sn(n)-S(n1)-C(nX1)	105-7 (5)	104-1 (5)
S(n1)-C(nX1)-S(n2)	121-6 (6)	121-1 (6)
S(n1)-C(nX1)-O(nX1)	111-8 (7)	113-4 (7)
S(n2)-C(nX1)-O(nX1)	126-6 (7)	125-5 (7)
C(nX1)-O(nX1)-C(nX2)	118-0 (7)	118-4 (7)
O(nX1)-C(nX2)-C(nX3)	108-0 (8)	108-2 (8)
C(nX2)-C(nX3)-O(nX2)	110-2 (9)	107-6 (8)
C(nX3)-O(nX2)-C(nX4)	114-6 (8)	113-0 (9)
Sn(n)-C(n1)-C(n6)	118-1 (8)	118-3 (7)
Sn(n)-C(n1)-C(n2)	123-3 (8)	121-4 (7)
Sn(n)-C(n7)-C(n8)	120-9 (8)	120-1 (8)
Sn(n)-C(n7)-C(n12)	120-2 (8)	120.7 (8)
Sn(n)-C(n13)-C(n14)	119-4 (8)	121.7 (8)
Sn(n) - C(n13) - C(n18)	122.1 (8)	120-8 (8)

by 0.078, 0.143 and 0.243 mm respectively was selected. Oscillation and Weissenberg photographs showed the crystal to be triclinic. The density was measured by flotation in aqueous zinc bromide solution.

An Enraf-Nonius CAD-4F diffractometer and graphite-monochromated Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $(\lambda =$ 0.71069 Å) were used. Cell dimensions were obtained by least-squares refinement of angular values obtained for 25 reflections with  $13 \le 2\theta \le 27.5^{\circ}$ .

Intensity data were collected using the  $\omega:2\theta$  scan technique  $(2 \le 2\theta \le 50^{\circ}: -1 \le h \le 11, -16 \le k \le 16,$  $-21 \le l \le 21$ ; the crystal was relatively weakly scattering and no significant data could be measured beyond  $2\theta = 50^{\circ}$ . No decomposition of the crystal was detected on the basis of three check reflections  $(\overline{4}22,$ 143, 153) remeasured every 3600 s throughout the data collection. Corrections were applied for Lorentz and polarization effects and for absorption (Sheldrick, 1976); maximum and minimum transmission factors 0.9181 and 0.8379 respectively; no correction was made for extinction. Equivalent reflections of the 9553 measured intensities were merged ( $R_{int} = 0.030$ ) giving 7831 unique reflections of which 3435 had  $I \ge 2\sigma(I)$ .

Tin and sulfur positions were obtained by direct methods (SHELXS86; Sheldrick, 1985) and remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located in subsequent difference maps. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined using full-matrix least squares based on F (SHELX76; Sheldrick, 1976) with anisotropic thermal parameters. Evidence of H atoms (maximum electron density  $1.3 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ ) was observed and all H atoms were included

in the model at calculated positions with a common isotropic thermal parameter refined for each H type. A weighting scheme of the form  $w = k/[\sigma^2 F_o + 0.001 F_o^2]$ was used and refinement of 490 parameters converged at R = 0.044, wR = 0.044, k = 0.8903, S = 1.058, max.  $\Delta/\sigma = 0.001$ , residual electron density in the range -0.543 to +1.098 eÅ<sup>-3</sup>. An analysis of variance showed no special features. The neutral-ator.1 scattering factors used were those incorporated in *SHELX76* (Sheldrick, 1976) and all calculations were performed on the University of Melbourne VAX 11/780 and 11/8650 computers. Fractional atomic coordinates are listed in Table 1\* and bond distances and angles (excluding phenyl-ring geometry) are given in Table 2.

**Discussion.** Crystals of triphenyltin methoxyethylxanthate [Ph<sub>3</sub>Sn(moexa)] are molecular, there being two independent molecules per asymmetric unit. The numbering scheme used, together with the orientation of the two molecules with respect to each other, is shown in Fig. 1; molecular packing is illustrated in Fig. 2. There are no major conformational differences between the two molecules. Differences between the corresponding bond lengths and angles are within  $3\sigma$ . The only significant difference between the molecules is

<sup>\*</sup> Tables of anisotropic thermal parameters, calculated H-atom coordinates, observed and calculated structure factors, phenyl-ring geometry, selected intermolecular contacts and mean planes have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 51001 (18 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.



Fig. 1. The asymmetric unit of Ph<sub>3</sub>Sn(moexa) showing the numbering scheme employed (ORTEPII; Johnson, 1971). The proposed hydrogen bond O(2X2)...H(122) is indicated.



Fig. 2. The packing of Ph<sub>3</sub>Sn(moexa) molecules in the unit cell (ORTEPII; Johnson, 1971).

in the intermolecular contacts. Within the asymmetric unit, the contact distance between  $O(2X2)\cdots H(122)$  of 2.47 (2) Å is significantly less than the analogous distance  $O(1X2)\cdots H(222)$  of 2.68 (1) Å. The former contact is substantially less than the sum of van der Waals radii [2.7 Å (Bondi, 1964)] and together with the  $C(1X2)-H(122)\cdots O(2X2)$  angle of 170° suggest hydrogen bonding (Taylor & Kennard, 1982). The shortest intermolecular contact between non-hydrogen atoms is for  $S(22)\cdots C(112^i)$  (i denotes the transformation -1+x, y, z) at 3.50 (1) Å, this distance being significantly shorter than the comparable  $S(12)\cdots$  $C(212^i)$  distance of 3.66 (1) Å. This non-equivalence is almost certainly a result of crystal packing.

The Sn atoms of the two independent molecules of Ph<sub>3</sub>Sn(moexa) are in a distorted tetrahedral environment consisting of three bonded phenyl carbons and an S atom of the xanthate moiety. The bond angles S(11)-Sn(1)-C(113) and S(21)-Sn(2)-C(213) of 97.7 (4) and 98.7 (4)° respectively are well below the value expected for ideal tetrahedral geometry, but are comparable to the 100.6 (7)° reported for the analogous angle in Ph<sub>3</sub>Sn(iprxa) (Tiekink & Winter, 1986). The distances  $Sn(1)\cdots O(1X1)$  and  $Sn(2)\cdots O(2X1)$  are 3.107 (8) and 3.094 (8) Å; these values are also similar to that reported for Ph<sub>2</sub>Sn(iprxa) [2.950 (3) Å] and substantially below the sum of van der Waals radii [3.7 Å (Bondi, 1964)]. The angles S(11)-C(1X1)-O(1X1) and S(21)-C(2X1)-O(2X1) of 126.6 (7) and  $125.5(7)^{\circ}$  are substantially greater than the ideal trigonal value of 120°. This distortion is attributed to the Sn-O interaction. The Sn-C bond distances [range 2.11(1) to 2.14(1)Å] are as expected. The nonequivalence of the S-C bond lengths reflects the non-equivalence of the S atoms, the longer S-C bond involving the coordinated S atom. The C-C and C-O bond lengths in the methoxyethylxanthate moiety are as expected.

The Commonwealth of Australia is thanked for a Commonwealth Post Graduate Research Award for AJE. Helpful discussions with B. F. Abrahams are acknowledged.

## References

- ABRAHAMS, B. F., HOSKINS, B. F., TIEKINK, E. R. T. & WINTER, G. (1988). Aust. J. Chem. In the press.
- BONDI, A. (1964). J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441-451.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1971). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76 Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1985). Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. SHELDRICK, C. KRUGER & R. GODDARD, pp. 175–189. Oxford Univ. Press.
- TAYLOR, R. & KENNARD, O. (1982). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 5063-5076.
- TIEKINK, E. R. T. & WINTER, G. (1986). J. Organomet. Chem. 314, 85-89.